

[Home](#)
[Quick](#)
[Advanced](#)
[Pat Num](#)
[Help](#)

[Hit List](#)
[Previous](#)
[Bottom](#)

[View Cart](#)
[Add to Cart](#)

[Images](#)

(12 of 12)

10,030,993
July 24, 2018

Method and system for determining whether steps have occurred

Abstract

A filter processes acceleration magnitude signals from an accelerometer device to output spectral content related to walking and running. A device containing the accelerometer determines steps by qualitatively analyzing the processed acceleration signals to determine whether increased acceleration magnitude results from a step impact from running or walking activity. The device may analyze the acceleration signals to determine crossings of an axis at zero magnitude, which crossings typically correspond to a person's foot impacting the ground, and may analyze the period between the zero crossings. The step count can indicate whether the device, in a height determination mode, is moving in a vehicle; if analysis of accelerometer signals indicates no stepping or running, but another circuit of the device indicates rapid movement, the device assumes it is moving in a vehicle, and resets a height above ground value to zero upon determining resumption of walking or running activity.

Inventors: Barfield; James R. (Atlanta, GA)

Applicant:	Name	City	State	Country	Type
-------------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	----------------	-------------

HTI IP, LLC Atlanta GA US

Assignee: *Verizon Connect Inc.* (Atlanta, GA)

Family ID: 50548117

Appl. No.: 14/069,194

Filed: October 31, 2013

Prior Publication Data

Document Identifier

US 20140188431 A1

Publication Date

Jul 3, 2014

Related U.S. Patent Documents

Application Number

61721319

Filing Date

Nov 1, 2012

Patent Number

Issue Date

Current U.S. Class:

1/1

Current CPC Class:

G01C 5/06 (20130101); G01C 22/006 (20130101)

Current International Class:

G01C 22/00 (20060101); G01C 5/06 (20060101)

References Cited [\[Referenced By\]](#)

U.S. Patent Documents

6018705	January 2000	Gaudet
6700499	March 2004	Kubo
6941239	September 2005	Unuma
7169084	January 2007	Tsuji
7811203	October 2010	Unuma
7881902	February 2011	Kahn
7930135	April 2011	Ma
2003/0018430	January 2003	Ladetto
2007/0072158	March 2007	Unuma
2007/0143068	June 2007	Pasolini
2007/0143069	June 2007	Pasolini
2010/0004860	January 2010	Chernoguz
2013/0085700	April 2013	Modi
2013/0085711	April 2013	Modi
2013/0090881	April 2013	Janardhanan
2013/0332108	December 2013	Patel
2014/0074431	March 2014	Modi
2014/0172351	June 2014	Barfield et al.

Other References

John, Dinesh et al. "Biomechanical Examination of the `Plateau Phenomenon` in ActiGraph Vertical Activity Counts." *Physiological Measurement* 33.2 (2012): 219-230. PMC. cited by examiner.

Primary Examiner: Satanovsky; Alexander

Assistant Examiner: Cordero; Lina

Parent Case Text

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. .sctn. 119(e) to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/721,319, entitled "Method and system for determining whether to reset a height determining device based on the occurrence of steps," and having a filing date of Nov. 1, 2012.

Claims

1. A device for counting steps, comprising: a processor to: collect acceleration data, associated with a user of the device, from an accelerometer sensor; determine a magnitude signal based on the acceleration data collected from the accelerometer sensor; determine, based on determining the magnitude signal, a first frequency of a first amplitude associated with a fastest stepping speed; determine, based on determining the magnitude signal, a second frequency of a second amplitude associated with a slowest stepping speed; establish a filter using the first frequency and the second frequency, the first frequency being an upper cutoff frequency of the filter, and the second frequency being a lower cutoff frequency of the filter; apply the filter to the magnitude signal; determine based on applying the filter to the magnitude signal: a minimum half period time threshold associated with the user of the device, a first half period magnitude threshold associated with the user of the device, and a maximum half period time threshold associated with the user of the device; receive sampled pressure data from a pressure sensor; determine, based on the sampled pressure data, that a change in height above ground by the device has occurred; determine, via a (Global Positioning System) GPS circuit and based on determining the change in height above ground by the device, a speed value associated with the device; reset, based on the speed value satisfying a speed threshold, a height above ground value; turn off, based on the speed value satisfying a speed threshold, the GPS circuit; receive sampled acceleration data; determine magnitude acceleration information based on the sampled acceleration data; determine based on the magnitude acceleration information: a first negative-to-positive acceleration change, a positive-to-negative acceleration change succeeding the first negative-to-positive acceleration change, and a second negative-to-positive acceleration change; compare a first half period time value and the minimum half period time threshold, the first half period time value corresponding to a first amount of time between the first negative-to-positive acceleration change and the positive-to-negative acceleration change; compare the first half period time value and the maximum half period time threshold; compare, based on the first half period time value satisfying the minimum half period time threshold and the maximum half period time threshold, a magnitude acceleration value, corresponding to the first half period time value, and the first half period magnitude threshold; compare, based on the magnitude acceleration value satisfying the first half period magnitude threshold, a second half period time value to the minimum half period time threshold, the second half period time value corresponding to a second amount of time between the positive-to-negative acceleration change and the second negative-to-positive acceleration change; compare the second half period time value and the maximum half period time threshold; determine that a step has occurred based on the second half period time value satisfying the minimum half period time threshold and the maximum half period time threshold; turn on the GPS circuit based on determining that the step occurred; and output a step signal indicating that the step has occurred.

3. The device of claim 2, wherein the pre-step count value is reset based on the step not occurring within a predetermined amount of time after a previous step indication.

5. The device of claim 1, where the magnitude acceleration value is a first magnitude acceleration value; wherein the processor is further to: compare a second magnitude acceleration value, corresponding to the second half period time value, and a second half period magnitude threshold; and wherein the processor, when determining that the step has occurred, is to: determine that the step has occurred based on the second magnitude acceleration value satisfying the second half period magnitude threshold.

6. The device of claim 1, where the magnitude acceleration value is a first magnitude acceleration value; and

11. The method of claim 10, further comprising: incrementing a pre-step count value based on the step signal indicating that the step has occurred; and adding the pre-step count value to a total step count value based on the pre-step count value reaching a predetermined quantity of step counts.

19. A non-transitory computer-readable medium storing instructions, the instructions comprising: one or more instructions that, when executed by one or more processors of a device, cause the one or more processors to: collect acceleration data, associated with a user of the device, from an accelerometer sensor; determine a magnitude signal based on the acceleration data collected from the accelerometer sensor; determine, based on determining the magnitude signal, a first frequency of a first amplitude associated with a fastest stepping speed; determine, based on determining the magnitude signal, a second frequency of a second amplitude associated with a slowest stepping speed; establish a filter using the first frequency and the second frequency, the first frequency being an upper cutoff frequency of the filter, and the second frequency being a lower cutoff frequency of the filter; apply the filter to the magnitude signal; determine based on applying the filter to the magnitude signal: a minimum half period time threshold associated with the user of the device, a first half period magnitude threshold associated with the user of the device, and a maximum half period time threshold associated with the user of the device; receive sampled pressure data from a pressure sensor; determine, based on the sampled pressure data, that a change in height above ground by the device has occurred; determine, via a (Global Positioning System) GPS circuit and based on determining the change in height above ground by the device, a speed value associated with the device; reset, based on the speed value satisfying a speed threshold, a height above ground value; turn off, based on the speed value satisfying a speed threshold, the GPS circuit; receive sampled acceleration data; determine magnitude acceleration information based on the sampled acceleration data; determine based on the magnitude acceleration information: a first negative-to-positive acceleration change, a positive-to-negative acceleration change succeeding the first negative-to-positive acceleration change, and a second negative-to-positive acceleration change; compare a first half period time value and the minimum half period time threshold, the first half period time value corresponding to a first amount of time between the first negative-to-positive acceleration change and the positive-to-negative acceleration change; compare the first half period time value and the maximum half period time threshold; compare, based on the first half period time value satisfying the minimum half period time threshold and the maximum half period time threshold, a

20. The non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim 19, wherein the one or more instructions, when executed by the one or more processors, further cause the one or more processors to: increment a pre-step count value based on the step signal indicating that the step has occurred; and add the pre-step count value to a total step count value based on the pre-step count value reaching a predetermined quantity of step counts.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 8 illustrates a graphical depiction of an accelerometer magnitude signal that has detected two step impacts, and the time between the ending of the first and beginning of the second.

The drawing pictured in FIG. 1 illustrates method 100 for detecting when a step has occurred based on an acceleration magnitude calculation of sampled acceleration data. The method starts at step 100 and advances to step 700, where the method causes a processor to perform initial processing of sampled acceleration data corresponding to a step impact during a walking or running activity. During step 700, shown in greater detail in FIG. 7, the processor coupled to various sensors acquires samples of data from an accelerometer and determines the magnitude of each sample. The magnitude is determined as the square root of the sum of the squares of the x-axis, the y-axis, and the z-axis data from a three-axis accelerometer, for example. For detecting only step activity, a one-axis or two-axis accelerometer may be sufficient. In the figure (see FIG. 7 for more detail), the outputted accelerometer signal is used and the magnitude of the x-axis, the y-axis, and the z-axis data is then filtered with a band pass filter. The band pass filter's cutoff frequencies are determined from the low frequency

Continuing with description of FIG. 1, at step 115 the first portion (first half period) periods are compared to a low time threshold (minimum time threshold) and a high time limit to make sure that the first portion of the impact signature period falls within a range that typical walking occurs. For purposes of discussion, the terms limit and threshold may be used interchangeably herein, but 'limit' generally refers to a maximum value not-to-exceed and a 'threshold' generally refers to a minimum value to-be-met. The first portion/half period time threshold and limit value ranges are determined from evaluating filtered accelerometer signals empirically acquired during multiple stepping movements at walking and running speeds. Baseline walking data typically exhibits ranges of temporally longer impact event signatures relative to ranges of temporally shorter impact event signatures that indicate faster walking or running speeds. At step 120, the maximum filtered magnitude value within the first portion of the signature period is calculated from retrieved/received magnitude data samples. The maximum filtered magnitude value determined at step 120 is compared to low and high magnitude threshold/limit values at step 125. These threshold and limit values provide a range of amplitudes empirically determined from multiple sets of walking and running data previously acquired and analyzed. In the aspect illustrated in FIG. 1, the larger magnitude threshold value (i.e., maximum maximum magnitude threshold) represents the largest impact typically determined empirically as indicating fast walking or running steps and the low magnitude threshold value (minimum maximum magnitude threshold) is the smallest amplitude value determined for slow walking data.

patft.uspto.gov/netacgi/nph-Parser?Sect1=PTO2&Sect2=HITOFF&u=%2Fnethtml%2FPTO%2Fsearch-adv.htm&r=12&f=G&l=50&... 7/16

Turning now to FIG. 2, the figure illustrates a method 200 for determining both walking and running steps taken by a user of a mobile device having an accelerometer sensor, such as a smartphone, a pedometer, or a Mobile Personal Emergency Response System ("MPERS") device that contains pedometer functionality. Compared with method 100, an advantage of using method 200 is improved rejection of false indications of stepping motion by detection of and distinguishing between running steps, walking steps. The improvement over method 100 is

Method 200 starts at step 205 and then advances to step 700 for initial processing of sampled data as discussed earlier in the discussion of FIG. 1. One period of the outputted filtered magnitude data corresponding to negative to positive, positive to negative, and negative to positive zero crossings is analyzed by method 300, called from step 301, to determine if a step occurs. Method 300, described in more detail in connection with FIG. 3, functions similarly to the functioning of method 100 with the exception that method 300 can process data with threshold values tailored to specific activity, for example, vis-a-vis running activity walking threshold values for detecting walking activity may have lower peak acceleration magnitude values and longer values between the zero crossings corresponding to the peak and minimum acceleration magnitude signature values due to a step impact. This improves accuracy by reducing false detections of steps when steps do not occur, e.g., when a pedometer device experiences an impact not caused by repetitive walking or running activity. Method 300 outputs an indication that a walking step has occurred, or if a triggering magnitude resulted from activity other than a walking step. If method 200 determines at step 210 that method 300 determined that a step occurred, step 215 increments a PRE_STEP_COUNT variable. If the value in the PRE_STEP_COUNT variable is 1, indicating that only one acceleration event that could correspond to a step has occurred, method 200 returns and continues to acquire and process data using method 700. If the determination at step 220 is that the value in PRE_STEP_COUNT variable does not equal 1, (i.e., the value is greater than 1 because it was incremented at step 215) method 200 follows the 'N' path from step 220 and advances to step 225.

If the `N` path is followed from step 240, method 200 moves to step 244 and TOTAL_STEP_COUNT is incremented. Using an equality as the condition to meet in step 240 prevents adding the value stored in the PRE_STEP_COUNT variable to the TOTAL_STEP_COUNT during a successive determination of a step (steps 215-235), thus ensuring that that the cumulative total number of steps stored in TOTAL_STEP_COUNT is only incremented by one for each determination that a step occurred within the predetermined period at step 225. After updating TOTAL_STEP_COUNT at step 244, method 200 returns to step/subroutine 700 where more data is obtained from a sensor to check for further steps.

patft.uspto.gov/netacgi/nph-Parser?Sect1=PTO2&Sect2=HITOFF&u=%2Fnetacgi%2FPTO%2Fsearch-adv.htm&r=12&f=G&l=50&... 9/16

The STEPS_THRESHOLDS value can be different for the running or the walking portion of method 200 in order to improve the false positive rates. Typical values range between 5 and 10 steps. This STEPS_THRESHOLD value is a predetermined value that PRE_STEP_COUNT for walking activity and PRE_RUN_COUNT values are compared against to determine whether a predetermined number for potential steps (i.e., acceleration magnitude signals that exceed a magnitude threshold) occur within a predetermined period. As discussed above in connection with FIG. 1 and the walking activity determination portion of FIG. 2, using the PRE_STEP_COUNT and PRE_RUN_COUNT delays incrementing the TOTAL_STEP_COUNT variable until the value in either the PRE_STEP_COUNT or PRE_RUN_COUNT variables are determined to correspond to actual walking steps or running steps, respectively, rather than discrete, non-step events.

Method 300 outputs a signal that indicates whether a running step has occurred. If method 200 determines at step 245 that information returned from method 300 at step 305 does not indicate that a running step occurred, then the method goes back to collecting accelerometer data to determine whether more steps occur. If it is determined that a running step occurred then method 200 increments a PRE_RUN_COUNT variable at step 250.

After the PRE_RUN_COUNT has been incremented in step 250, method 200 progresses to step 255 where the method checks to determine whether PRE_RUN_COUNT is equal to 1 and if that is the case the method follows the 'Y' path to step 700 where more data is sampled from accelerometer sensors to determine whether another step occurs. If step 255 follows the 'N' path, the method determines at step 260 whether the step recorded in PRE_RUN_COUNT occurred within a predetermined amount of time from the most recent previous incrementing of PRE_RUN_COUNT at step 250. If this is not the case the 'N' path is followed from step 260, the PRE_RUN_COUNT to zero at step 275, and method 200 returns to step 700. Alternatively, if information from method 300 indicates a run step, method 200 follows the 'Y' path from step 260 to step 265 where PRE_RUN_COUNT is compared to STEPS_THRESHOLD to determine whether PRE_RUN_COUNT is greater than or equal to STEPS_THRESHOLD. If the comparison results in a value greater than the threshold, the method follows the 'Y' path to step 270. Otherwise, method 265 follows the 'N' path back to step 700. In step 270, the method makes a comparison to determine whether the value in PRE_RUN_COUNT is equal to STEPS_THRESHOLD, and if true, step 270 follows the 'Y' path to step 285 and adds the amount of steps stored in the STEPS_THRESHOLD variable to TOTAL_RUN_COUNT, which is the total number of walking steps determined in method 200. If the 'N' path is followed from step 270 method 200 moves to step 280 where TOTAL_RUN_COUNT is incremented and returns back to step 700.

Turning now to FIG. 3, the figure illustrates a flow diagram of a method 300 that analyzes data processed according to method 700, described infra, and outputs an indication if, or information indicating whether, the data processed by method 700 corresponds to a walking step, a running step, or some other repetitive ambulatory activity by someone wearing a device performing the method. Examples of other activity that may be processed and determined as ambulatory may include jogging, swimming, riding a horse, climbing a ladder, etc. In the aspect illustrated in FIG. 3, method 300 distinguishes whether the ambulatory activity is walking or running according to evaluation of the data received from method 700 with predetermined criteria. The predetermined criteria may be user selectable, but preferably is selected based on empirical data. After analyzing empirical data, common traits are observed for walking compared to running. Specifically, running tends to have less time between stride impact, and each stride impact causes an accelerometer device worn by a runner to output a higher magnitude than walking stride impacts cause. Thus, when method 300 is called from step 301 from FIG. 2, for example, it receives walking impact magnitude and frequency thresholds and limits. And, when method 300 is called from step 305, it receives stride impact magnitude and frequency thresholds and limits that have been determined to define running movement. The data received from method 700 typically includes processed samples of data between, and including, samples where a sinusoidal curve crosses zero magnitude as shown in FIG. 7.

For purposes of discussion, depending on whether step 301 or 305 called routine 300, method 300 starts at either step 301 or step 305 respectively. Also for purposes of discussion, the thresholds discussed in connection with steps 315, 325, 335, and 345 may differ depending on whether it is evaluating data for determination of a walk or a run activity. If step 301 calls it, method 300 typically uses walk thresholds/limits, whereas it uses run

At step 310, the method (or processor running the method) analyzes the period between the first and second zero crossings, and compares the time between them to a low threshold and a high threshold at step 315. If the period corresponding to the time between the first and second zero crossings is not greater than the minimum period threshold and not less than the maximum period threshold, or limit, method 300 advances to step 350 and returns an indication that a step (either walk or run) has not occurred.

At step 330, method 300 determines the samples that correspond to the second zero crossing and a third zero crossing (the time between the first and second zero crossings and the second and third zero crossings compose a complete period of a signature of sampled step impact data from method 700). Step 335 compares the period between the second and third zero crossings to time threshold value limits. If the period between the second and third zero crossings does not fall between the time threshold limits for the second portion of the impact signature, then method 300 advances to step 350. If the period between the second and third zero crossings falls between the time threshold limits for the second portion of the impact signature, then method 300 advances to step 340, where the processor determines the minimum acceleration magnitude for the step acceleration magnitude signature, which will typically occur during the second portion of the signature between the second and third zero crossings. At step 345, the processor compares the minimum acceleration magnitude of the impact signature to predetermined second signature portion acceleration magnitude thresholds. If the minimum acceleration magnitude does not fall between the predetermined second signature portion acceleration magnitude thresholds, then method 300 advances to step 350 and returns an indication of no-step. If the minimum acceleration magnitude falls between the predetermined second signature portion acceleration magnitude thresholds, then method 300 advances to step 355 and returns an indication that a step has occurred.

Referring now to FIG. 5, the figure illustrates how the threshold values are determined from recorded step datasets (i.e., running or walking activity). Data sets are collected for fast walking or running having high acceleration magnitude step impacts to establish the upper end of spectrum with regards to magnitudes obtained for these steps. For these types of fast step activities, a step impact tends to have higher frequency content associated with it than slower running or walking. Thus, the upper cutoff frequency of a band pass filter and the minimum size of a half period threshold tend to be higher frequency and shorter time, respectively. Likewise, the slowest walking speed with very little impact tends to result in lower thresholds for magnitudes that indicate a step impact, a lower cutoff frequency value for the band pass filter, and a longer time for the maximum values for the half period thresholds. The process for determining these values is outlined in method 500.

patft.uspto.gov/netacgi/nph-Parser?Sect1=PTO2&Sect2=HITOFF&u=%2Fnethtml%2FPTO%2Fsearch-adv.htm&r=12&f=G&l=50... 11/16

At step 525, the processor applies method 500 and filters the raw acceleration magnitude signal with a band pass filter that is designed with the upper and lower cutoff frequencies determined at step 520. At step 530, the processor evaluates the filtered data and determines minimum and maximum half-periods and amplitudes. It will be appreciated that half-periods refers to the time between a first and second zero crossing (plot of acceleration magnitude crossing the time axis as the acceleration magnitude transitions from negative to positive or positive to negative) and also the time between the second zero crossing and a third zero crossing for magnitude data, smoothed or raw (unfiltered), wherein the total time between the first and third zero crossings corresponds, and typically correlates, to peaks in the sampled data caused by step impacts.

At step 535, the processor determines the measured maximum of the maximum amplitudes from the multiple empirical data signatures, and stores it as a high maximum threshold (may also be referred to as maximum maximum threshold). At step 540, the processor determines the measured minimum of the maximum amplitudes from the multiple empirical data signatures, and stores it as a low maximum threshold may be referred to as minimum maximum threshold). Thus, if when comparing a maximum acceleration value from a filtered acceleration signature acquired in the future the maximum acceleration value from said signature either exceeds or does not meet the maximum or minimum maximum magnitude threshold, respectively, then the processor evaluating the future data signature may determine that the maximum acceleration magnitude from the signature it just acquired does not correspond to a step impact. If a maximum magnitude from a signature acquired in the future does not meet the thresholds determined in steps 535 and 540, then the action that caused it most likely is not from a step. Rather, if the maximum magnitude evaluation currently under evaluation does not meet the minimum maximum threshold for the first half-period, the action is likely the result of noise in, sensed by, or bumping of, a device containing the accelerometer sensor that acquired it. If the maximum magnitude evaluation under evaluation exceeds the maximum maximum threshold for the first half-period, the action is likely the result of a high-force impact, such as striking of the device having the accelerometer against a hard surface or a hard bump against such a rigid hard surface.

Similar to method steps 535 and 540, at steps 545 and 550 the processor processing the multiple empirical step activity signals determines the minimum of the minimum amplitudes measured (minimums because the magnitudes between the second and third zero crossing for a given impact signature correspond to negative acceleration) and stores the value as a low minimum magnitude threshold (or minimum minimum magnitude threshold) and determines the maximum of the minimum amplitudes and stores the value as a high minimum threshold (or maximum minimum magnitude threshold). Thus, comparing the minimum magnitude from the second half period of unknown data (unknown whether a step impact from a walk or a run step caused a given magnitude swing) with the minimum and maximum minimum magnitude thresholds further refines the determination that a given smoothed impact signature results from a walking or running step impact event, or from some other type of event. The comparison of the second half period minimum magnitude to the

After determining in steps 535 and 540 the minimum and maximum acceleration magnitude thresholds for use in comparing a positive magnitude increase from a first portion (first half period) of what may be a signature of a step impact, and after determining at steps 545 and 550 the minimum, or negative, minimum and maximum acceleration magnitude thresholds, at steps 555, 560, 565, and 570, method 500 determines minimum and maximum time thresholds to be used in a comparison to magnitude changes in a smoothed signal from an accelerometer device in the future. For example, if the time between a first zero crossing and a second zero crossing is too long, the acceleration is probably due to a non-step motion, such as swaying while standing. If the period between the first and second zero crossing is shorter than the minimum time threshold, then the signal being compared thereto is likely due to the accelerometer device striking, or being struck by, a rigid, hard material or surface. Similarly, the period of decompression following an acceleration magnitude increase typically has identifiable and repeatable characteristics, such as time between the smoothed acceleration magnitude signal transitioning from positive to negative and then back to positive occurring within the empirically determined second minimum and maximum time thresholds. Thus, when method 500 ends at step 575, it has determined minimum and maximum threshold values (both time and acceleration magnitude thresholds) for use in comparing with a first portion of a magnitude increase and a second portion of the magnitude increase (the second portion typically corresponding to a decompression portion following an acceleration impact spike) from multiple empirical data signatures acquired from multiple stepping activities (i.e., walking and running by test personnel wearing a device that includes an accelerometer that acquires the empirical data sets).

Regardless of the format the accelerometer outputs acceleration data, at step 715, the processor determines the magnitude for each digital sample of a signal output from the accelerometer sensor. If the accelerometer is a single axis accelerometer, this step can be bypassed since the magnitude would equal the acceleration value indicated by the sample (the square root of a number is the number). If the accelerometer sensor outputs acceleration signals corresponding to multiple axes, the processor computes the square root sum of the squares of the samples for the multiple axes acquired substantially concurrently with one another.

At step 725, the processor evaluates the samples that have been stored in the memory (typically a FIFO buffer). The evaluation determines a first negative to positive zero crossing, shown in the figure at the left of the graphical representation of the function that best fits the filtered acceleration magnitude samples. The evaluation also determines the second zero crossing, which is a positive to negative crossing, as well as

determining a third zero crossing shown at the right of the graphical representation of step 725. This function shown in step 725 of the flow diagram represents a typical signature of an impact event caused by a stepping action, wherein acceleration magnitude samples are filtered with a band pass resulting in a shape that resembles a sinusoid, but may not be a perfect sine wave. The portion of the function shown between the first and second zero crossings may be referred to as a first half period and the portion between the second and third zero crossings may be referred to as the second half period of the impact function, or signal. This signature, or signal, represents spectral makeup of the portion of a person's gait corresponding to their foot impacting a walking, or running, surface--the total period of the signature may not necessarily correspond to the period between step impacts, even if the person is walking or running with a consistent gait at a consistent rate.

At step 730, the processor isolates the time of the first, second, and third zero crossings relative to each other, as well as the corresponding acceleration magnitude peaks (positive direction for the first portion of the signature and negative for the second portion of the signature). At step 740, the processor determines the time, or number of samples, between the first and second zero crossings and the time between the second and third zero crossings. Method 700 ends at step 740, and passes the peak magnitude values and the time/period lengths for the first and second portions of the signature to the method that called it for further evaluation and comparison to threshold limit values as discussed elsewhere herein.

Turning now to FIG. 8, the figure illustrates a slightly different representation of a step impact signature than the one shown in step 725 of the flow chart illustrating method 700. In FIG. 8, the signature contains filtered acceleration magnitude data for a first step impact event and for a second step impact event. The figure shows that the first and second portions, or half-`periods,` of either impact signatures do not correspond to the period of a person's gait while walking or running. The gait period would be the time between corresponding points on the first and second impact magnitude signatures, such as the first zero crossing for each, or perhaps the peak acceleration magnitude during the first portion of each.

Reset To Ground Level of a Height Determination Method

Method 600 uses a height determining method and a pedometer method, such as any of the pedometer methods described herein. An example of a height determining method is one that senses changes in pressure, such as the Height Determination Method described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/719,122, which is incorporated herein in its entirety. The Height Determination Method samples pressure from a pressure sensor and determines the change in height based off of using the slope of sampled points that indicate a change in pressure occurs within a determined amount of time. By knowing how much the pressure changes it can be inferred how high the device's physical placement is off the ground when the device is traveling in an elevator or up or down stairs. Other activities that produce pressure changes occur when the device is in a vehicle or airplane because of pressure changes that translate from altitude changes from going up or down hills in a vehicle or ascending or descending in an aircraft. An indication of speed greater than a typical human running speed as determine by a GPS circuit in a mobile device can be used to determine that the mobile device is moving with a vehicle, and if so, reset the height above ground value to zero. Such resetting of the height above ground value occurs often in a moving vehicle. However, traveling in a vehicle as the elevation of the vehicle changes does not affect the indication by a mobile device of its location in a building, and thus a GPS circuit in the device needlessly uses power while in a moving vehicle. Therefore, determining that height is changing due to a reason other than walking, running, or climbing stairs can function as a means for temporarily turning off a device's GPS circuit while the device is likely in a vehicle. Turning the GPS circuitry back on when pedometer algorithms embodying the methods described herein, including method 600, indicate that a wearer of a device having an accelerometer is actually taking steps, which typically happens while outside of a vehicle allows the device's GPS circuitry to remain off while the wearer is not moving himself, or herself, around with their legs, but resets the height above ground to zero substantially as soon as the wearer begins moving using their own legs to walk or run. Therefore, detecting occurrence of steps provides a means for resetting the height above ground value in a height determining method running in a device after being located in a vehicle and thus reduces power use of a GPS circuit when the information it provides is not needed with respect to the height determining method. In addition to reducing power consumption by the GPS circuitry, using the detection of steps to reset a height above ground value can also bypass the running on the processor of the height determining method, which typically

The Method 600 describes a way to reset a mobile device containing a pressure sensor to calculate the distance above a ground height to a ground height level value of zero when traveling in a vehicle. At step 601 the method starts. At step 602 samples are taken from a pressure sensor and values representing the samples are stored to a memory device having a predetermined size for storing a predetermined number of sample values. At step 605, the method calculates from the predetermined number of sample values a height above ground value. At step 610, the height above ground value is used to determine a change in height of the device. The absolute value of the height above ground value is compared to a threshold value empirically determined, for example, by typical changes in height for elevators or traveling up or down stairs. If the absolute value of the change in height exceeds the threshold then the method progresses to 615. If the change in pressure is less than the empirically determined threshold value then the method returns to step 602. Going up or down hills in an automobile also generates an altitude change great enough to progress to 615. At step 615 the change in height calculated from the pressure sensor change is used to adjust a current height. The current height is stored in the value HEIGHT_ABOVE_GROUND. At step 620, the processor running method 600 causes the GPS receiver to turn on and method 600 progresses to step 625. At step 625, a value representing speed along the ground is retrieved from the GPS circuitry. At step 630, if the horizontal speed is greater than a typical speed that an automobile travels, then the method determines that the device must be traveling in a vehicle and progresses to 635. Otherwise, the method determines that the device must be in an elevator so it progresses to 602 to and continues monitoring potential height changes from the samples continuously stored in the memory have a predetermined size (e.g., samples are stored in a FIFO buffer). At step 635, based on a GPS speed along the ground indicating speed higher than human running speed, the height determining device is presumed to be on a person riding in a vehicle. Since vehicles operate on the ground, the value in the HEIGHT_ABOVE_GROUND variable is set to zero and GPS circuitry is, or can be, turned off: At step 640 a counter value that represents the steps taken after a person wearing a mobile device exits a vehicle is set to zero At step 645, the processor running method 600 samples data from an accelerometer sensor. At step 647 the processor analyzes data sampled from the accelerometer to determine whether a step has occurred by a user of the mobile device running method 600. If the pedometer determines that a step has been taken then it progresses to 650. If analysis of the accelerometer sensor samples does not indicate a step taken, the method returns to step 645. At step 650 a HEIGHT_STEP_COUNT variable is incremented to indicate a step has been taken. 655 when the value in HEIGHT_STEP_COUNT indicating the number of steps taken reaches a predetermined step threshold selected based on an amount of indication of potential steps that could potentially occur during a vehicle ride (i.e., number of bumps of a device, or other non-step actions that could indicate a step) method 600 returns to step 602. Otherwise, method 600 returns to 645 and continues monitoring acceleration data for more indications of steps.

Method 600 provides advantages, one of which is reduced power consumption. Setting the HEIGHT_ABOVE_GROUND variable to zero and turning off the GPS circuitry while the mobile device is traveling in a vehicle, when the device is always at ground level, eliminates power consumption of the GPS circuitry that would only confirm that the HEIGHT_ABOVE_GROUND variable should be zero while the device is in the vehicle. Also, the height determination algorithm is not needed while the mobile device is moving in a vehicle, because it would indicate that the device is at ground level. Thus, using the determination of actual steps by a user resulting from analyzing signals from the accelerometer serves as a trigger and eliminates the need for consuming power to run the GPS circuitry and processing height determination steps when the device is at ground level. The pedometer algorithm thus serves as a trigger for causing the GPS

Other Applications of Method 600

* * * * *

