

[USPTO PATENT FULL-TEXT AND IMAGE DATABASE](#)[Home](#)[Quick](#)[Advanced](#)[Pat Num](#)[Help](#)[Bottom](#)[View Cart](#)[Add to Cart](#)[Images](#)

(1 of 1)

United States Patent
Arndt , et al.**10,215,320**
February 26, 2019

Instability suppression device for pressure control valves

Abstract

A system is provided for reducing instabilities associated with the operation of a pressure control valve. In an embodiment, the system may include a pressure vessel configured for containing a working fluid. A pressure control valve may be configured for pressure dependent flow of the working fluid from the pressure vessel. The pressure vessel may be coupled to the pressure control valve by a fluid conduit. An instability suppression device may be in fluid communication with the fluid conduit between the pressure vessel and the pressure control valve. The instability suppression device may provide a compliant interface with the working fluid. In an embodiment, the instability suppression device may change the acoustic dynamics associated with the fluid path between the pressure vessel and the pressure control valve to control pressure and flow oscillations at an inlet of the pressure control valve.

Inventors: **Arndt; Scott D.** (Pearland, TX), **Doiron; Harold H.** (Pearland, TX), **Jenkins; Ryan P.** (Crestview, FL)

Applicant: **Name** **City** **State** **Country** **Type**

InDyne Inc. Reston VA US

Assignee: **InDyne Inc.** (Reston, VA)

Family ID: 57609186

Appl. No.: 15/202,109

Filed: July 5, 2016

Prior Publication Data**Document Identifier**

US 20170002967 A1

Publication Date

Jan 5, 2017

Related U.S. Patent Documents**Application Number**

62187987

Filing Date

Jul 2, 2015

Patent Number**Issue Date****Current U.S. Class:****1/1****Current CPC Class:**

F16L 55/053 (20130101); F16L 55/052 (20130101); F16K

17/02 (20130101); F16L 55/05 (20130101); F16L 55/054 (20130101);
F17C 1/00 (20130101)

Current International Class: F16L 55/05 (20060101); F16L 55/052 (20060101); F17C 1/00 (20060101);
F16K 17/02 (20060101); F16L 55/053 (20060101); F16L
55/054 (20060101)

Field of Search: ;138/26,30,31 ;220/721,722,723

References Cited [\[Referenced By\]](#)

U.S. Patent Documents

2707002	April 1955	Harris
3163985	January 1965	Bouyoucos
3868972	March 1975	Zirps
3931834	January 1976	Caillet
4428401	January 1984	Chun
5845621	December 1998	Robinson et al.
6925868	August 2005	Young
8869827	October 2014	Yamauchi
2002/0059959	May 2002	Qatu
2015/0338012	November 2015	Cogliati

Other References

International Search Report issued in related application serial No. PCT/US2016/040985 dated Sep. 22, 2016. cited by applicant.

Primary Examiner: Paquette; Ian
Attorney, Agent or Firm: Placker; Jeffrey T. Holland & Knight LLP

Parent Case Text

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 62/187,987, filed on Jul. 2, 2015, and entitled "Instability Suppression Device for Pressure Control Valves," the entire disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference.

Claims

What is claimed is:

1. A system comprising: a pressure vessel configured for containing a working fluid; a pressure control valve configured for pressure dependent flow of the working fluid from the pressure vessel; a fluid conduit coupling the pressure vessel and the pressure control valve; and an instability suppression device in fluid communication with the fluid conduit between the pressure vessel and the pressure control valve, the instability suppression device providing a compliant interface with the working fluid, wherein the placement of the instability suppression device relative to the pressure control valve and the inertance of the working fluid between the instability suppression device and the pressure control valve are given by the equation $\text{function} \cdot \text{times} \cdot \omega \cdot \text{times} \cdot \text{times} \cdot \omega \cdot \text{times}$. ##EQU00021## for a total system gain, $G > -1$, wherein:

f.sub.A is the natural frequency of the instability suppression device in Hz, f.sub.V is the natural frequency of the pressure control valve in Hz I.sub.LV is the Inertance for flow between the instability suppression device and the pressure control valve, I.sub.AL is the Inertance of the instability suppression device inlet flow between the compliant interface and the fluid conduit connecting the pressure vessel and the flow control valve, Gv is the flow gain of the pressure control valve, and .omega.v is valve mode natural frequency of oscillation in radians / second.

2. The system according to claim 1, wherein the working fluid includes a gas.
3. The system according to claim 1, wherein the working fluid includes a liquid.
4. The system according to claim 1, wherein the working fluid includes a gas-liquid mixture.
5. The system according to claim 1, wherein the pressure control valve includes a pressure relief valve.
6. The system according to claim 1, wherein the pressure control valve includes a pressure regulator.
7. The system according to claim 1, wherein the instability suppression device is in fluid communication with the fluid conduit in an in-line configuration.
8. The system according to claim 1, wherein the instability suppression device is in fluid communication with the fluid conduit in a branch configuration.
9. The system according to claim 1, wherein the instability suppression device includes a reservoir, and the compliant interface includes a compressible gas volume within the reservoir.
10. The system according to claim 1, further including a flow resistance disposed between the conduit and the instability suppression device, the flow resistance configured to damp flow oscillations between the instability suppression device and the conduit.
11. The system according to claim 1, wherein the fluid communication between the conduit and the instability suppression device is configured to accept relatively high frequency oscillations, relative to a natural frequency associated with the pressure control valve.
12. The system according to claim 1, wherein the instability suppression device is configured to separate acoustic wave dynamics frequencies associated with the conduit and a natural frequency associated with the pressure control valve.
13. The system according to claim 1, wherein the compliant interface includes a physical interface with the working fluid.
14. The system according to claim 13, wherein the physical interface includes an elastically deformable interface with the working fluid.
15. The system according to claim 13, wherein the physical interface includes a mechanical bellows providing a compliant interface with the working fluid.
16. The system according to claim 15, wherein the mechanical bellows contains a compressible fluid.
17. The system according to claim 15, wherein the mechanical bellow contains a mechanical spring.
18. A system comprising: a pressure vessel configured for containing a working fluid; a pressure control valve configured for pressure dependent flow of the working fluid from the pressure vessel; a fluid conduit coupling the pressure vessel and the pressure control valve; and an instability suppression device in fluid communication with the fluid conduit between the pressure vessel and the pressure control valve, the instability suppression device including a reservoir configured to maintain a gas volume within the reservoir providing a compliant interface with the working fluid, wherein the placement of the instability suppression device relative to the pressure control valve and the inertance of the working fluid between the instability

source of compliance. For example, and referring also to FIG. 3, in an embodiment the physical interface may include a mechanical bellows 28, which may provide a compliant interface with the working fluid within the reservoir 20b. For example, the mechanical bellows 28 may be capable of compliant compression and expansion, acting against the working fluid within the reservoir 20b. In this manner the mechanical bellows may provide the compliant interface with the working fluid. The mechanical bellows 28 may be formed from a variety of materials, e.g., depending upon the make-up of the working fluid, the system operating pressures and temperatures, and the like. For example, the mechanical bellows may include a metallic structure, a plastic structure, etc., as well as a structure utilizing a combination of different materials. It will further be appreciated that while the mechanical bellows may include a conventional bellows configuration, such a structure should not be construed as a limitation. As used herein, a mechanical bellows is intended to include any mechanical structure or arrangement which may provide a compliant force against the working fluid within the instability suppression device. Other suitable configurations, without limitation, may include a piston/plunger configuration, an elastic diaphragm, or any other suitable structure.

In an embodiment, the mechanical bellows may be capable of compliant compression and expansion based upon, at least in part a compressible fluid contained within the interior 30 of the mechanical bellows 28. The compressible fluid may provide at least a portion of the desired spring rate of the compliant interface with the working fluid. It will be appreciated that the structure and material of the mechanical bellows may also contribute to, or otherwise impact the spring rate of the compliant interface. For example, a metal mechanical bellows may, itself, provide a spring rate that may contribute to the compliant interface with the working fluid. Accordingly, such factors may be considered in determining a suitable pressure and volume of the compressible fluid contained within the mechanical bellows for providing a desired compliant interface with the working fluid. Additionally, and/or alternatively, the mechanical bellows may include a mechanical spring, which may provide at least a portion of the desired spring rate of the compliant interface. For example, the mechanical spring may urge the mechanical bellows toward an expanded configuration, and may exhibit a desired compliance when the mechanical bellows is acted on by the working fluid. It will be appreciated that various mechanical springs may be suitably utilized, such as coil springs, cantilever springs, elastic structures, resilient foam materials, etc. Further, such mechanical springs may also be utilized in combination, for example, with a compressible fluid, in providing a desired spring rate of the compliant interface with the working fluid.

Consistent with some embodiments, the physical interface, which forms at least a portion of the compliant interface with the working fluid, may include an elastically deformable interface with the working fluid. As generally mentioned above the mechanical bellows is intended to include any mechanical structure or arrangement that may provide or facilitate the compliant interface with the working fluid. As one particular implementation of such a structure, an elastically deformable interface, such as an elastic diaphragm or membrane, may be provided in contact with the working fluid within the instability suppression device. According to various embodiments, the desired spring rate of the compliant interface may be provided by the elastically deformable interface itself, by a compressible fluid separated from the working fluid by the elastically deformable interface, by a mechanical spring separated from the working fluid by the elastically deformable interface, or the like, including combinations of any of the foregoing.

Consistent with some implementations, the working fluid may include a liquid and/or a gas-liquid mixture. As discussed, e.g., with respect to FIG. 1, in an embodiment in which the compliant interface includes, at least in part, a compressible fluid, such as a compressible gas, the instability suppression device may be arranged and/or oriented such that the compressible fluid may be retained within the reservoir. For example, if the working fluid includes a liquid and the compliant interface includes a compressible gas, the instability suppression device may be oriented such that the compressible gas may remain above the fluid communication between the instability suppression device and the fluid conduit. In an embodiment in which the compliant interface may include a physical interface, such as a mechanical bellows, an elastically deformable interface, or the like, the need for orienting the instability suppression device in a manner to retain the compressible fluid within the reservoir may be obviated. For example, the physical interface may ensure that the compressible fluid may be retained within the reservoir of the instability suppression device.

In an embodiment, a flow resistance may be disposed between the fluid conduit 16 and the instability suppression device 18. For example, the flow resistance may be configured to damp flow oscillations between the instability suppression device 18 and the fluid conduit 16. The flow resistance between the fluid conduit 16 and the instability suppression device 18 may include, for example, one or more orifices providing a

$\rho \cdot \beta$ EQU00007

where,

ρ is the mass density of the liquid

β is the effective Bulk Modulus of the liquid including pipe wall elasticity effects, if important. Ref. 2 derives effective bulk modulus for pipe wall elasticity effects.

V is the volume of the liquid for which Compliance is calculated

In situations where both gas and liquids exist in pockets or mixtures in the valve supply line, the total Compliance for any specific volume can be computed if the values for the separate volume fractions of gas and liquid are known. The gas and liquid Compliance values are computed for their respective sub-volumes, and the total compliance of the mixture for the total volume is computed by,

$$C_{TOTAL} = C_{GAS} + C_{LIQUID}$$

Dynamic Equations of Motion for Suppression Device: Newton's 2nd Law for incompressible fluid flow between pressure, P_A , in the device gas volume and pressure at the device inlet, P_L , is given by, $P_A - P_L \cdot R_{AL} = I_{AL} \cdot \ddot{W}$

where,

R_{AL} is a linear flow resistance coefficient, psi/(lb/sec)

I_{AL} is the Inertance for flow between P_A and P_L , psi/(lb/sec²) or, sec²/in²

Substitution with equations (6) and (7) yields a differential equation that relates pressure in the gas volume to inlet pressure transients.

$\omega \cdot \omega \cdot \omega \cdot \omega \cdot \omega \cdot \omega \cdot \omega$ EQU00008

is the natural frequency of the suppression device in rad/sec and the natural frequency in Hz is given by,

$\omega \cdot \pi \cdot \pi \cdot \pi \cdot \pi$ EQU00009

By defining the resistance coefficient term,

$\omega \cdot \zeta \cdot \omega$ EQU00010

where,

ζ is the non-dimensional damping ratio of the fluid oscillator defining damping as a fraction of critical damping (oscillator will not oscillate for critical damping)

Then, equation (8) can be written in typical second order oscillator form, $\ddot{P}_A + 2\zeta \omega \dot{P}_A + \omega^2 P_A = \omega^2 P_L$ (10)

Solving equation (6) simultaneously with eq. (10) provides equations defining motion of fluid flow in and out of the device due to pressure fluctuations at the device inlet.

Equations (6) and (10) may be combined with other fluid system acoustic mode dynamic equations, and valve dynamic equations of motion, to examine valve and fluid system stability with the instability suppression device installed.

Instability Suppression Device Design and Installation Considerations. In some implementations, a pressure

control valve's dynamic behavior can be described by one or more dynamic modes of oscillation for which we can write equations of motion, $\ddot{x}_V + 2\zeta_V \omega_V \dot{x}_V + \omega_V^2 x_V = P_{VA} V / m_V = \omega_V^2 P_{VA} V / k_V$ (11)

where, x_V = axial displacement of valve internal components that allow regulation of flow rate through the valve, in.

k_V = effective spring constant for the valve considering mechanical spring, mechanical stop stiffness, and fluid aerodynamic spring effects, lb/in.

m_V = mass of components moving in the valve with displacement, x_V , lb/(386 in/sec.²)

ω_V = a particular valve mode natural frequency of oscillation, rad/sec

P_{VA} = Static pressure differential on valve mass causing valve motion, psi

A_V = Poppet face area on which pressure, P_{VA} , acts to create a force on valve mass, in.²

ζ_V = damping ratio for a valve that defines the fraction of critical damping

The valve natural frequency in Hz is expressed as,

$\omega_V \text{ times } \pi$ (12)

where this frequency can be regarded as amplitude dependent due to the non-linear relationship between stroke and stiffness due to the presence of the mechanical stop.

The relationship between valve outlet flow and displacement can be expressed by the equation, $W_V = G_F x_V$ (13)

where,

W_V is the instantaneous flow rate exiting the valve, lb/sec

G_F is a constant (gain) defining the relationship between valve flow and poppet displacement (lift)

Using (13), and (11) evaluated for harmonic excitation at the natural frequency of the valve, valve flow gain, G_F , is defined as,

$\omega_V \zeta_V$ (14)

At the natural frequency of oscillation of the valve, eq. (14) defines the ratio of magnitudes of flow perturbations through the valve as a function of harmonic excitation by valve inlet pressure sinusoidal amplitude.

Large dynamic responses of the valve result when inlet pressure oscillations occur near the natural frequency of oscillation, f_V , with maximum possible ratio of valve flow oscillation with respect to inlet pressure oscillation, defined by eq. (14). When acoustic pressure oscillations in the valve supply line are near the valve natural frequency, unstable oscillation may typically result, as mechanical oscillations of the valve respond to oscillations in the driving pressure, P_{VA} , and oscillations in P_{VA} are affected by flow fluctuations through the valve caused by oscillations in axial displacement of valve internal components. Instability can occur at frequencies near the acoustic frequencies of the supply line, or near the valve natural frequency.

In order to reduce and/or eliminate unstable operation of various types of valves due to acoustic pressure wave effects, an instability suppression device may be configured to have a minimum gas volume (and/or a minimum Compliance, CA , via eq. (5)) that is a function of the working fluid (gas, liquid or mixture) weight

density, ρ , device gas pressure, P_A , device gas ratio of specific heats at constant pressure and constant volume, CP/CV , installation system geometry defined by L_{LV} and L_{AL} (L_{AL} defined in eq. (16) below), valve natural frequency, f_V , and damping ratio, ζ_V . In addition, the total Inertance of the fluid flow path between the suppression device gas volume and valve inlet may be restricted to limit acoustic pressure drop magnitude at the valve inlet to a specified value. With this restriction, suppression device volume requirements may be determined by a dynamic stability analysis of the fluid flow system and valve equations of motion.

The Inertance of the fluid between the valve and suppression device inlet is given by,

$$I_{inlet} = \frac{L_{LV}}{A_{inlet}}$$

where A_{inlet} is the flow area of the supply line feeding the valve inlet.

The Inertance, I_{AL} , of the flow path between the suppression device gas volume and inlet is related to a length of pipe of insider diameter, A_P , such that,

$$I_{AL} = \frac{L_{AL}}{A_P}$$

The required gas volume (Compliance) of the instability suppression device may be determined to provide for stable operation of the valve with a desired stability margin. A typical design requirement for stability of dynamic systems may be configured such that the system may be stable for design operating conditions with a Factor of Safety of 2 on critical system parameters. In control systems terminology, this design requirement may be referred to as a 6 db gain margin for system stability. Greater stability margins may be imposed (larger gas volume) when critical parameters affecting system stability such as the valve damping ratio, ζ_V , or natural frequency, f_V , are not known with precision in computing the valve gain parameter, G_V , in equation (14).

The system stability can be, and was, analyzed with several methods that gave similar results. These analytical results were confirmed by safety relief valve stability tests, with and without the suppression device installed in the system. Using the Nyquist Plot stability analysis method, an algebraic expression was obtained that defines the instability suppression device design and installation parameters required for a desired stability margin. The Nyquist Method was used to compute the total system output/input loop gain defined by the magnitude and phase of flow entering the valve with respect to unit amplitude sinusoidal flow oscillations out of the supply tank. The magnitude, G , of this gain at frequencies when input and output flow disturbances are in phase near the valve natural frequency was computed. Neutral stability (condition where oscillations don't grow or damp out) is obtained when a total zero-phase gain of $G=-1$ occurs at a frequency near the valve natural frequency. For a typical 6 db gain margin (or factor of 2 on gain required for neutral stability), loop gain is required to be $G=-0.5$, or half the value of G for which neutral stability occurs.

Through dynamic stability analyses using the Nyquist stability criterion, the critical instability suppression device installation design condition, ignoring small flow resistance effects, is given by the equation:

$$G_V = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{I_{inlet} \omega^2}{G_V} + \frac{I_{AL} \omega^2}{G_V}}$$

Where,

C_L is the Compliance of the fluid in the supply line between the supply tank and the suppression device inlet, in^2

C_V is the Compliance of the fluid between the device inlet and valve inlet, in^2

In typical cases with higher system pressures that reduce the magnitude of the fluid compliance terms, C_L and C_V , and where $G_V \approx \frac{1}{1 + \frac{I_{inlet} \omega^2}{G_V} + \frac{I_{AL} \omega^2}{G_V}}$, the second term in the denominator of eq. (17) may be ignored and equation (17) can be approximated by a simpler equation form:

$$G_V \approx \frac{1}{1 + \frac{I_{inlet} \omega^2}{G_V}}$$

larger values of $L_{sub.LV}$, result in higher instability suppression device natural frequencies associated with smaller device volumes.

According to some implementations, an instability suppression device configured for the lowest frequency mode of fluid oscillation may generally have adequate compliance to suppress higher order acoustic modes. However, in some implementations, in order to suppress instabilities in higher order acoustic modes, the instability suppression device inlet flow geometry and resistance may require further restriction than required to suppress the lowest frequency acoustic mode. The device may be configured to provide sufficiently low inlet flow impedance to accept the high frequency flow oscillations required to suppress acoustic pressure and flow oscillations in higher order, higher frequency modes.

The introduction of a suitably sized instability suppression device may create a higher order open-open organ pipe mode between the pressure vessel and the instability suppression device inlet with frequency computed from speed of sound divided by twice the sound path length from pressure vessel to the instability suppression device compliant interface. The frequency of this mode may be on the order of twice the frequency of the four phased open-closed organ pipe mode between pressure vessel and the pressure control valve without the instability suppression device installed, as discussed previously. When the higher order acoustic modes have sufficient frequency separation from the pressure control valve natural frequency, the higher order acoustic modes may not couple with the pressure control valve dynamics, and no higher ordered mode stability suppression is required. Sufficiently low instability suppression device inlet flow impedance to suppress the lowest frequency open-open organ pipe mode, typically provides adequate suppression for higher ordered modes, since the next higher order mode has half the wavelength of the fundamental open-open organ pipe mode with twice the frequency and two times more frequency separation from the valve natural frequency.

Consistent with the foregoing, in some implementations, the instability suppression device may be configured to separate acoustic wave dynamics frequencies associated with the conduit and a natural frequency associated with the pressure control valve. In some implementations, the location of the instability suppression device, the spring rate of the compliant interface within the instability suppression device, and the flow resistance of the instability suppression device may be selected to avoid creating a new system instability by introducing the instability suppression device into the system.

In some implementations, as the compliance of the instability suppression device compliant interface is increased from near zero to more compliant values, the original fundamental and higher frequency harmonics of the open-closed organ pipe acoustic modes of the system (open flow boundary condition on the pressure vessel end of conduit 16 and closed flow boundary condition on the valve end of conduit 16), with fundamental frequency approximately $(\text{Speed of Sound in Working Fluid})/(4(L_{sub.TL}+L_{sub.LV}))=c/(4(L_{sub.TL}+L_{sub.LV}))$

and higher harmonic frequencies, $f_z=Nc/(4(L_{sub.TL}+L_{sub.LV}))$, $N=3, 5, 7, \dots$, with frequency close enough to the valve natural frequency to cause unstable operation of the valve, may transition to three different fluid pressure and flow oscillation modes of the system (and their harmonics) described below, as modified by the instability suppression device. When the instability suppression device design variables and installation location are selected to cause the three (3) new system frequencies and their harmonic frequencies to be adequately separated from the valve frequency, more stable operation of the valve may result. These three new fluid pressure and flow oscillation modes are:

1. The lowest frequency mode may transition to a fluid oscillation mode characterized by the mass of fluid in the conduit defined by length $L_{sub.TL}$ interacting with the compliant interface of the instability suppression device, and relatively unaffected by speed of sound or compressibility of fluid in the conduit, and will have a frequency $<c/(4(L_{sub.TL}))$

with no harmonics and lower frequency with increasing compliance of the compliant interface.

2. The original open-closed organ pipe acoustic mode with fundamental frequency approximately $=c/(4(L_{sub.TL}+L_{sub.LV}))$

and higher harmonic frequencies approximated by $Nc/(4(L_{sub.TL}+L_{sub.LV}))$, $N=1, 3, 5, \dots$, transition

towards a family of open-open organ pipe modes with an open boundary condition at the instability suppression device compliant interface replacing the closed boundary condition at the valve and with approximate fundamental frequency= $c/(2L.\text{sub.TL})$

and harmonic frequencies given by $Nc/(2L.\text{sub.TL})$, $N=2, 3, 4, \dots$

3. A new open-closed organ pipe mode with open boundary at the instability suppression device compliant interface and closed boundary at the closed valve inlet with acoustic mode frequency approximated by $c/(4L.\text{sub.LV})$ and higher harmonic frequencies by $Nc/(4L.\text{sub.LV})$, $N=3, 5, 7, \dots$

Complete elimination of the acoustic wave amplitude may not guarantee stability of the valve operation if flow friction pressure losses between the pressure vessel and the pressure control valve are too large. In this case, if the pressure control valve opening causes flow rate high enough to cause large flow friction pressure losses at the valve inlet, the pressure control valve may begin to close due to insufficient pressure to hold it open against the mechanical spring force of the pressure control valve spring. Repeated cycles of the pressure control valve opening and closing due to this excessive flow friction pressure drop is another form of unstable operation of the valve. However, as valve flow increases, the instability suppression device may reduce the rate of pressure drop at the pressure control valve inlet due to flow friction, and in many cases, this may allow the valve to remain open without chatter or instability. In this case, the pressure control valve may operate in a stable manner, but at a flow rate below maximum design flow of the pressure control valve.

In regard to friction pressure losses, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) has provided guidelines for designing Section VIII SRV (safety relief valve) installations to ensure stable operation. Consistent with these guidelines, total flow friction pressure drop in the piping system between the pressure vessel and SRV may be limited, at maximum rated flow rate of the SRV, to less than 3 percent of the SRV set pressure. For example, for a set pressure of 250 psig, this allowed pressure drop would only be a 7.5 psi pressure drop. This results in restricting SRV inlet piping to lengths of several feet to preclude excessive friction losses. The guideline does not specifically address acoustic pressure oscillations that are also important to SRV stable operation. However, the guideline does result in short pipe lengths of several feet between pressure vessels and their SRV's, that result in acoustic wave frequencies near enough to SRV natural frequencies of oscillation (determined by their effective spring-mass characteristics including aerodynamic spring effects) to cause unstable operation due to acoustic phenomenon, even when they are stable for the friction pressure loss stability mode.

The instability suppression device disclosed herein may eliminate the inlet piping system acoustic effects on valve stability and may ensure stability of the SRV at frequencies near the natural oscillation frequency of the SRV, and may allow supply line lengths that are longer than the current ASME Section VIII SRV installation stability guideline.

A variety of features of the variable flow rate pump have been described. However, it will be appreciated that various additional features and structures may be implemented in connection with a pump according to the present disclosure. As such, the features and attributes described herein should be construed as a limitation on the present disclosure.

* * * * *

